

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/763,170	01/26/2004	Takashi Okazaki	040019	6710		
23850	7590 04/28/2006		EXAMINER			
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW			CHEN, VIVIAN			
SUITE 1000	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			1773			
				DATE MAILED: 04/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/763,170	OKAZAKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Vivian Chen	1773	

	Vivian Chen	1773				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing  (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		20(-) and the annual	A			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since			
AMENDMENTS  2.   M. The record of the decay (a) filed affine a fine of the decay (a) filed affine a filed affine		*** ** * **				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	. Will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause			
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or	rem rem appear al, materially re	adding or outiputying				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: see Detailed Advisory Action. (See 37 CFF	R 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		-	_			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 5-9</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>7 and 3-3</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>						
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see Detailed Advisory Action.			nce because:			
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
13.  Other:		1100				
		Vivian Cha-	<del></del> .			
1		Vivian Chen Primary Examiner				

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1773

#### **DETAILED ADVISORY ACTION**

1. Claims 2-4 have been cancelled by Applicant.

### Response to Proposed Amendments

2. The proposed amendments will <u>NOT</u> be entered because they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. The newly added claim limitations (e.g., layer (A) thickness, the changed range of surface electrical resistance of toner receiving surface) have not been previously presented.

#### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 3/30/2006 have been considered but are moot because the proposed amendments have <u>NOT</u> been entered. Applicant's arguments and the specification's showing regarding the purported criticality of the surface electrical resistance of the toner receiving layer are not commensurate in scope with the present claims (e.g., with respect to the amount and size of conductive material, the specific composition of the thermoplastic surface layers, etc.)

Art Unit: 1773

#### Conclusion

Page 3

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vivian Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1506. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney, can be reached on (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

The General Information telephone number for Technology Center 1700 is (571) 272-1700.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 19, 2006

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773